SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board

| Author: Torlakson | Analyst: | Gloria McConnell | Bill Number: A | в 1950 |
|--|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Related Bills: | Telephone | e: 845-4336 | Amended Date: | 08/24/98 |
| | Attorney: | Janet Ballou | Sponsor: | |
| SUBJECT: Suspended Corporations/Fines for Transacting Business Do Not Apply to Insurers/Counsel | | | | |
| DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided. | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended | | | | |
| FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY. | | | | |
| DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO | | | | |
| X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED 02/17/98 STILL APPLIES. | | | | |
| X OTHER - See comments below. | | | | |
| SUMMARY OF BILL | | | | |
| This bill, among other things, would preclude an insurer of a suspended corporation from being prosecuted by the Franchise Tax Board (FTB) in a misdemeanor action if it defends an insured suspended corporation client in a civil action relating to the insurer's responsibilities to defend and indemnify the insured. | | | | |
| SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT | | | | |
| Neither these amendments nor the August 19, 1998, amendments affect FTB's programs or operations. | | | | |
| Considerations | | | | |
| The following considerations were raised in the analysis of the bill as introduced February 17, 1998, and still apply: | | | | |
| Although this bill exempts the insurer from a misdemeanor prosecution, it does not provide the insurer with the express opportunity to defend the suspended corporation without actually reviving the corporation from suspended status. | | | | |
| This bill applies to any suspended corporation, which is defined to include only a corporation that incorporates in California, but not foreign corporations that have qualified to do business in California. It is unclear if this is the author's intent. | | | | |
| Board Position: | | | Department/Legislative Di | rector Date |
| S NA O | | NP NAR | | |
| X N OUA | _ | PENDING | Johnnie Lou Rosas | 9/11/98 |

G:\BILL ANALYSES FOR ESSTECH\NOT CONVERTED\AB1950_082498.DOC